

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNĖY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,834	10/25/2001	Satoshi Kudo	01670/HG	8652
1933 7	7590 12/30/2002			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			EXAMINER	
25TH FLOOR	767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR		CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/019,834	KUDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lakshmi S Channavajjala	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) □ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Javanija 🖰 O	amory (PTO 413) Paper Ne/e)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/019,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3 and 4 provide for the use of a conjugated fatty acid ester, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Instant expression "type" in claims 7 and 8 respectively, is indefinite because it is unclear what other forms other than tablets or capsules are encompassed by the term.
- 3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the

Art Unit: 1615

explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation a conjugated fatty acid ester, and the claim also recites especially conjugated linolenic acid, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Arcos

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jose et al (Biotechnology letters, submitted on PTO -1449).

Jose discloses production of acylglycerols from conjugated linolenic acid and glycerol.

Jose discloses conjugated linolenic acid (CLA) is a mixture of isomers of octadecanoic acid containing double bonds, which are known to possess anti-cancer, anti-atherogenic and fat partitioning effects. Jose further discloses incorporation of CLA in the diet by way of enrichment



Art Unit: 1615

of food products such as dairy products, in acylglycerols containing CLA residues. Thus, Jose anticipates instant claims 1-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jose et al in view of US 5,554,646 to Cook et al.

Jose et al, discussed above, teaches acylglycerols produced from glycerol and conjugated fatty acid ester of linolenic acid containing conjugated double bonds. Jose et al recognize the anti-atherogenic and fat partitioning effects of the compounds. Jose also teaches adding acylglycerols containing CLA residues to dairy products, so as to achieve anti-atherogenic or

Art Unit: 1615 .

anti-cancer effect through vegetarian foods. However, Jose fails to teach instant soybean milk, capsule or a tablet form of acylglycerols of CLA.

Cook et al teaches a method of reducing body fat and a method of preventing loss of protein using compositions containing conjugated linolenic acid (col. 1, lines 16-60 and col. 2, example 3). Cook et al suggests administering CLA as pharmaceutical or veterinary compositions such as tablets, capsule, food supplement etc (col. 3, lines 60-67 and col. 4, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to administer acylglycerols containing CLA of Jose et al as a dietary supplement such as milk (Jose et al) or as a pharmaceutical or nutritional supplement (such as tablet or a capsule etc) because Cook et al suggests that CLA is effective in reducing body fat and thus aids in dieting for humans and also effective in reducing the fat content of meats and that CLA can be administered any desired form. Accordingly, one of an ordinary skill in the art would have been able to choose a tablet or a capsule or a drink, such as milk, form to administer acylglycerols of CLA (of Jose et al) for reducing body fat or as an aid in dieting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S Channavajjala whose telephone number is 703-308-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 AM -4.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7924 for regular communications and 703-308-7924 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner

Art Unit 1615

December 27, 2002